arXiv:1604.03671v1 [math.RA] 13 Apr 2016

ON GENERALIZED NON-COMMUTING GRAPH OF A FINITE RING

JUTIREKHA DUTTA, DHIREN K. BASNET & RAJAT K. NATH*

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tezpur University,
Napaam-784028, Sonitpur, Assam India.
*Email: jutirekhadutta@yahoo.com, dbasnet@tezu.ernet.in and rajatkantinath@yahoo.com**

Abstract: Let S, K be two subrings of a finite ring R. Then the generalized non-commuting graph of subrings S, K of R, denoted by $\Gamma_{S,K}$, is a simple graph whose vertex set is $(S \cup K) \setminus (C_K(S) \cup C_S(K))$ and two distinct vertices a, b are adjacent if and only if $a \in S$ or $b \in S$ and $ab \neq ba$. We determine the diameter, girth and some dominating sets for $\Gamma_{S,K}$. Some connections between the $\Gamma_{S,K}$ and $\Pr(S, K)$ are also obtained. Further, $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism between two pairs of finite rings is defined and showed that the generalized non-commuting graphs of two $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinic pairs are isomorphic under some condition.

Key words: Non-commuting graph, Commuting probability, $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C25, 16U70.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper R denotes a finite ring, S and K denote two subrings of R. Let $C_K(S) = \{k \in K : ks = sk \ \forall \ s \in S\}$, $C_S(K) = \{s \in S : ks = sk \ \forall \ k \in K\}$. Note that $C_K(S)$ and $C_S(K)$ are subrings of K and S respectively. In this paper, we consider the graph $\Gamma_{S,K}$ associated to the subrings S and K of R as follows: We take $(S \cup K) \setminus (C_K(S) \cup C_S(K))$ as the vertex set of $\Gamma_{S,K}$ and two distinct vertices a and b are adjacent if and only if $a \in S$ or $b \in S$ and $ab \neq ba$.

It is clear that for any subrings S, K of R such that $S \subseteq K$, the vertex set of $\Gamma_{S,K}$ is $K \setminus C_K(S)$. Further, if $S = R$ then $\Gamma_{S,K}$ becomes $\Gamma_{R,R} = \Gamma_R$, the non-commuting graph of R. The notion of non-commuting graph of a finite ring was introduced by Erfanian, Khashyarmanesh and Nafar [10]. Many mathematicians have studied algebraic structures by

Corresponding author.

means of graph theoretical properties in the last decades (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 15] etc.). The motivation of this paper lies in the works of Erfanian et al. [11, 16]. Also the techniques adopted to prove various results in this paper are more or less similar in nature to that in [16, 11].

We recall that the commuting probability of a finite ring R is the probability that a randomly chosen pair of elements of R commute. That is,

$$\Pr(R) = \frac{|\{(r,t) \in R \times R : rt = tr\}|}{|R||R|}.$$

This ratio was introduced by MacHale [14] and studied by MacHale et al. in [5, 6]. We generalize $\Pr(R)$ by the following ratio

$$\Pr(S,K) = \frac{|\{(s,k) \in S \times K : sk = ks\}|}{|S||K|}$$

where S and K are two subrings of R. Various properties of $\Pr(S,K)$ are studied in [8]. Clearly, $\Pr(R,R) = \Pr(R)$. It may be mentioned here that $\Pr(S,K)$ when $K = R$ is studied in [7].

In Section 2, we give some preliminary results regarding $\Gamma_{S,K}$. In Section 3, we determine diameter, girth and some dominating sets for $\Gamma_{S,K}$. In Section 4, we derive some connections between $\Gamma_{S,K}$ and $\Pr(S,K)$. In the last section, we define $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism between two pairs of rings and find some connections between two isoclinic pairs of rings and their generalized non-commuting graphs.

2. Preliminary results

In this section, we derive some preliminary results some of which are used in the forthcoming sections. For a graph G, we write $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ to denote the set of vertices and set of edges of G respectively. We write $deg(v)$ to denote the degree of the vertex v, which is the number of edges incident on v.

Proposition 2.1. *Let R be a non-commutative ring and S, K two subrings of R. Let $r \in V(\Gamma_{S,K})$ then*

(a) $deg(r) = |S \cup K| - |C_S(r) \cup C_K(r) \cup C_S(K)|$ *if* $r \in S \setminus (K \cup C_K(S) \cup C_S(K)) = S \setminus (K \cup C_S(K))$.
(b) $deg(r) = |S \cup K| - |C_S(r) \cup C_K(r)|$ *if* $r \in S \cap K$.
(c) $deg(r) = |S| - |C_S(r) \cup C_{S\cap K}(S)|$ *if* $r \in K \setminus (S \cup C_K(S) \cup C_S(K)) = K \setminus (S \cup C_K(S))$.

Proof. The proof follows from the definition of $\Gamma_{S,K}$. □

As a consequence of the above proposition, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Let R be a non-commutative ring with subrings S and K such that $S \subseteq K$. Then*

(a) $deg(r) = |K| - |C_K(r)|$ *if* $r \in V(\Gamma_{S,K}) \cap S$.
(b) $deg(r) = |S| - |C_S(r)|$ *if* $r \in V(\Gamma_{S,K}) \cap (K \setminus S)$.
(c) *There is no isolated vertex in* $V(\Gamma_{S,K})$.
(d) $\Gamma_{S,K}$ *is empty graph if and only if S is commutative.*

Recall that a star graph is a tree on n vertices in which one vertex has degree $n-1$ and the others have degree 1. A bipartite graph is a graph whose vertex set can be partitioned into two disjoint parts in such a way that the two end vertices of every edge lie in different parts. A complete bipartite graph is a bipartite graph such that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they lie in different parts. In the following theorems we shall show that if G is a star graph or complete bipartite graph or an n-regular graph, where n is a square free odd positive integer, then G can not be realized by $\Gamma_{S,K}$ for any two subrings S, K of a ring R such that $S \subseteq K$.

Theorem 2.3. *There is no non-commutative ring R with subrings S, K and $S \subseteq K$ such that $\Gamma_{S,K}$ is a star graph.*

Proof. Suppose there exists a ring R with non-commutative subrings S, K such that $S \subseteq K$ and $\Gamma_{S,K}$ is a star graph. Then there exists a unique vertex of degree $|V(\Gamma_{S,K})| - 1$. Suppose first that $s \in S$ is that vertex. So $deg(s) = |V(\Gamma_{S,K})| - 1$ which gives $|C_K(S)|(|C_K(s)|/|C_K(S)| - 1) = 1$. So, $|C_K(S)| = 1$ and $(|C_K(s)|/|C_K(S)| - 1) = 1$. This gives $|C_K(s)| = 2$ and $deg(r) = 1$ for any $r \in K \setminus S$. Therefore, $[S : C_S(r)] = |S|/(|S| - 1)$, which is not possible.

Next we suppose that $r \in K \setminus S$ is the unique vertex having degree $|V(\Gamma_{S,K})| - 1$. Then for any $s \in S \cap V(\Gamma_{S,K})$ we have $deg(s) = 1$ which again gives $[K : C_K(s)] = |K|/(|K| - 1)$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.4. *There is no non-commutative ring R with subrings S, K and $S \subseteq K$ such that $\Gamma_{S,K}$ is complete bipartite.*

Proof. Let R be a finite non-commutative ring and S, K two subrings of R, where $S \subseteq K$, such that $\Gamma_{S,K}$ is complete bipartite. Then we have two disjoint subsets V_1 and V_2 of $V(\Gamma_{S,K})$ such that $|V_1| + |V_2| = |K| - |C_K(S)|$. Suppose $S \cap V_1 \neq \phi$ and $S \cap V_2 \neq \phi$. Then there exist $x \in S \cap V_1$ and $y \in S \cap V_2$ such that $xy \neq yx$. Now $x + y \in S$ and $x + y \notin C_K(S)$ that is $x + y \in V_1$ or V_2, these give contradictions. Hence, $S \cap V_1 = \phi$ or $S \cap V_2 = \phi$. That is $S \subseteq V_2$ or $S \subseteq V_1$. Suppose $S \subseteq V_1$. Then the vertices of $\Gamma_{S,K}$ belonging to S are not adjacent

to any of the vertices in S. Therefore, if $v \in V_1$ then $vs = sv$ for all $s \in S \setminus C_K(S)$. Thus $v \in Z(S) \subseteq C_K(S)$, a contradiction. Hence the theorem follows. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let n be any square free odd positive integer. Then there is no non-commutative ring R with subrings S, K and $S \subseteq K$ such that $\Gamma_{S,K}$ is an n-regular graph.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma_{S,K}$ be an n-regular graph, where $S \subseteq K$ are subrings of a non-commutative ring R. Suppose $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k$, where p_i's are distinct odd primes. If $r \in S$ is a vertex of $\Gamma_{S,K}$ then

$$n = deg(r) = |K| - |C_K(r)| = |C_K(r)|([K : C_K(r)] - 1).$$

If $|C_K(r)| = 1$ then $n = deg(r) = |K| - 1$. But $|V(\Gamma_{S,K})| = |K| - |C_K(S)| \leq |K| - 1$ which gives $deg(r) \leq |K| - 2$, a contradiction. Hence $|C_K(r)| \neq 1$. Thus $|C_K(r)| = \prod_{p_i \in Q} p_i$ and $[K : C_K(r)] - 1 = \prod_{p_j \in P \setminus Q} p_j$, where $Q \subseteq \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\} = P$. So, $|K| = \prod_{p_i \in Q} p_i (\prod_{p_j \in P \setminus Q} p_j + 1)$. If $r \in R \setminus S$ then, using similar argument, we have $|S| = \prod_{p_i \in T} p_i (\prod_{p_j \in P \setminus T} p_j + 1)$ where $T \subseteq P$. Since $|S|$ divides $|K|$, $\prod_{p_i \in T - (T \cap Q)} p_i (\prod_{p_j \in P \setminus T} p_j + 1)$ divides $\prod_{p_j \in P \setminus Q} p_j + 1$, which is not possible. This completes the proof. □

Now putting $S = R$ in Theorems 2.4-2.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. *There is no non-commutative ring R with subrings S, K and $S \subseteq K$ such that*

(a) *Γ_R is a star graph.*
(b) *Γ_R is complete bipartite graph.*
(c) *Γ_R is an n-regular graph where n is any square free odd positive integer.*

A complete graph is is a graph in which every pair of distinct vertices is adjacent. In the following theorem we show that a complete graph can not be realized by $\Gamma_{S,K}$ for some subrings S, K of R.

Theorem 2.7. *There is no non-commutative ring R with subrings S, K where $S \subseteq K$ and K has unity such that $\Gamma_{S,K}$ is complete. In particular, there is no non-commutative ring R with unity such that Γ_R is complete.*

Proof. If S is commutative then $\Gamma_{S,K}$ is an empty graph. Suppose that S is non-commutative and $\Gamma_{S,K}$ is complete. Then for $s \in V(\Gamma_{S,K}) \cap S$ we have $deg(s) = |V(\Gamma_{S,K})| - 1 = |K| - |C_K(S)| - 1$. By part (a) of

Corollary 2.2, we have $|K| - |C_K(s)| = |K| - |C_K(S)| - 1$. This gives $|C_K(S)| = 1$ and $|C_K(s)| = 2$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of the first part.

Particular case follows by putting $S = R$. □

3. Diameter, girth and dominating set

In this section, we obtain diameter, girth and dominating set of $\Gamma_{S,K}$. We write $diam(G)$ and $girth(G)$ to denote the diameter and girth of a graph G respectively. Recall that $diam(G) = max\{d(x, y) : x, y \in V(G)\}$, where $d(x, y)$ denotes the distance between x and y. Also $girth(G)$ is the length of the shortest cycle obtained in G.

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be a non-commutative ring and S, K two subrings of R.*

(a) *If $Z(S) = Z(K) = \{0\}$ then $diam(\Gamma_{S,K}) \leq 3$ and $girth(\Gamma_{S,K}) \leq 4$.*
(b) *If $S \subseteq K$ and $Z(S) = \{0\}$ then $diam(\Gamma_{S,K}) = 2$ and $girth(\Gamma_{S,K}) = 3$.*

Proof. (a) Suppose $r \in V(\Gamma_{S,K})$. If $S \subseteq C_K(r)$ or $K \subseteq C_S(r)$ then $r \in C_K(S)$ or $r \in C_S(K)$, a contradiction. Therefore $S \nsubseteq C_K(r)$ and $K \nsubseteq C_S(r)$. Suppose r and t are vertices of $\Gamma_{S,K}$ such that they are not adjacent. If $r, t \in K$ then there exist vertices $s_1, s_2 \in S$ such that $rs_1 \neq s_1r$ and $ts_2 \neq s_2t$. If r is adjacent to s_2 or t is adjacent to s_1, then $d(r, t) = 2$. If $r \in S$ and $t \in K$ then there exist vertices $k \in K$ and $s \in S$ such that $rk \neq kr$ and $ts \neq st$, as $S \nsubseteq C_K(r)$ and $K \nsubseteq C_S(r)$. Suppose r is adjacent to s or t is adjacent to k, then $d(r, t) = 2$. If they are not adjacent and k is adjacent to s then $d(r, t) = 3$. If r is not adjacent to s, t is not adjacent to k and k is not adjacent to s then $(k + t)$ is adjacent to r and s. So $d(r, t) = 3$. If $r, t \in S$ then there exist vertices $k_1, k_2 \in K$ such that $rk_1 \neq k_1r$ and $tk_2 \neq k_2t$. If r is adjacent to k_2 or t is adjacent to k_1 then $d(r, t) = 2$. If they are not adjacent then $k_1 + k_2$ is adjacent to r and t and so $d(r, t) = 2$. Hence, $diam(\Gamma_{S,K}) \leq 3$.

Next we suppose that $k \in K, s \in S$ such that k and s are vertices and they are adjacent. So there exist two vertices $s' \in S, k' \in K$ such that $sk' \neq k's$ and $s'k \neq ks'$. If k is adjacent to k' or s is adjacent to s' then $\{s, k, k'\}$ or $\{s, k, s'\}$ is a cycle of length 3 in $\Gamma_{S,K}$. Suppose both are not adjacent; and s', k' are adjacent. Then $\{s, k, s', k'\}$ is a cycle of length 4 in $\Gamma_{S,K}$. Suppose s', k' are also not adjacent, then there exists $s + s' \in S$ such that $(s + s')$ is adjacent to k and k'. Then $\{s, k, s + s', k'\}$ is a cycle of length 3 in $\Gamma_{S,K}$. Hence, $girth(\Gamma_{S,K}) \leq 4$.

(b) Let r_1 and r_2 be two vertices of $\Gamma_{S,K}$ such that $r_1r_2 = r_2r_1$. As r_1 and r_2 are vertices, therefore there exist vertices $s_1, s_2 \in S$ such that $r_1s_1 \neq s_1r_1$ and $r_2s_2 \neq s_2r_2$. If r_2 is adjacent to s_1 or r_1 is adjacent to s_2, then $d(r_1, r_2) = 2$. We assume that both are not adjacent, that is $r_1s_2 = s_2r_1$ and $r_2s_1 = s_1r_2$. Then $s_1 + s_2$ is adjacent to r_1 and r_2, which also gives $d(r_1, r_2) = 2$. Hence, $diam(\Gamma_{S,K}) = 2$.

Next, we suppose that $r, s \in V(\Gamma_{S,K})$ where $s \in S$ and r, s are adjacent. So, there exist $t_1, t_2 \in V(\Gamma_{S,K})$ such that $rt_1 \neq t_1r$ and $st_2 \neq t_2s$. That is, r and s are adjacent to t_1 and t_2 respectively. If r, t_2 or s, t_1 are adjacent then $\{r, s, t_2\}$ or $\{r, s, t_1\}$ is a cycle of length 3 in $\Gamma_{S,K}$. Suppose both are not adjacent then it can be seen that $t_1 + t_2$ is adjacent to r and s. Therefore, $\{r, s, t_1 + t_2\}$ is a cycle of length 3 in $\Gamma_{S,K}$. Hence, $girth(\Gamma_{S,K})$ is 3. □

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Let R be a non-commutative ring and S, K two subrings of R such that $S \subseteq K$ and $Z(S) = \{0\}$. Then $\Gamma_{S,K}$ is connected.*

Let G be a graph and D a subset of $V(G)$ such that every vertex not in D is adjacent to at least one member of D then D is called the dominating set for G. It is easy to see that for non-commutative subrings S, K of R such that $S \subseteq K$, $V(\Gamma_S)$ is a dominating set for $\Gamma_{S,K}$ and $V(\Gamma_{S,K})$ is a dominating set for Γ_K if $|C_K(S)| = 1$. In the next few results we discuss about dominating sets for $\Gamma_{S,K}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let S, K be two subrings of a non-commutative ring R and $X \subseteq V(\Gamma_{S,K})$. Then X is a dominating set for $\Gamma_{S,K}$ if and only if $C_S(X) \cup C_K(X) \subseteq X \cup C_S(K) \cup C_K(S)$.*

Proof. Let X be a dominating set for $\Gamma_{S,K}$. Let $r \in V(\Gamma_{S,K})$ such that $r \in C_K(X) \cup C_S(X)$. Also $r \notin C_S(K) \cup C_K(S)$. If $r \notin X$ then there exists an element $x \in X$ such that $rx \neq xr$, a contradiction. If $r \notin V(\Gamma_{S,K})$ such that $r \in C_K(X) \cup C_S(X)$ then $r \in C_S(K) \cup C_K(S)$.

Conversely, we suppose that $C_S(X) \cup C_K(X) \subseteq X \cup C_S(K) \cup C_K(S)$. Let $l \in V(\Gamma_{S,K})$ such that $l \notin X$. Suppose $lx = xl$ for all $x \in X$, that is X is not a dominating set. So, $l \in C_S(X)$ or $l \in C_K(X)$. This gives $l \in X \cup C_S(K) \cup C_K(S)$. Therefore, $l \in X$, a contradiction. Hence, X is a dominating set for $\Gamma_{S,K}$. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let R be a non-commutative ring with unity and S, K be two subrings of R. Let $A = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m\}$ and $B = \{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n\}$ be generating sets for S and K respectively. If $A \cap (C_S(K) \cup C_K(S)) = \{s_{c+1}, \ldots, s_m\}$ and $B \cap (C_S(K) \cup C_K(S)) = \{k_{d+1}, \ldots, s_n\}$ then $X = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_c, k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_d\}$ is a dominating set for $\Gamma_{S,K}$.*

Proof. Clearly $X \subseteq V(\Gamma_{S,K})$. Let $r \in V(\Gamma_{S,K})$ such that $r \notin X$. If $r \in S$ then there exists an element $k \in K$ such that $k = g_i k_1^{\alpha_{1i}} k_2^{\alpha_{2i}} \dots k_p^{\alpha_{pi}}$ where $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_{ji} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $k_j \in B$ such that $rk \neq kr$. Thus $rk_i \neq k_i r$ for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$. If $r \in K$ then there exists an element $s \in S$ such that $s = h_j s_1^{\alpha_{1j}} s_2^{\alpha_{2j}} \dots k_q^{\alpha_{qj}}$ where $h_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $s_i \in A$ such that $rs \neq sr$. Thus $rs_j \neq s_j r$ for some $j, 1 \leq j \leq c$. This completes the proof. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let R be a non-commutative ring with unity and S, K two subrings of R such that $S \subseteq K$. Let $A = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ be a generating set for S. If $A \cap C_K(S) = \{s_{m+1}, \dots, s_n\}$ then $B = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\} \cup \{s_1 + s_{m+1}, s_1 + s_{m+2}, \dots, s_1 + s_n\}$ is a dominating set for $\Gamma_{S,K}$.*

Proof. Clearly $B \subseteq V(\Gamma_{S,K})$. Let r be an element of $V(\Gamma_{S,K})$ such that $r \notin B$. If $r \in S$ then there exists an element $s = z_i {s_1}^{\alpha_{1i}} {s_2}^{\alpha_{2i}} \dots {s_d}^{\alpha_{di}}$, where $z_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_{ji} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $S_j \in A$ such that $rs \neq sr$. Hence, $rs_i \neq s_i r$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$ and so r is adjacent to s_i.

If $r \in K \setminus S$ then there exists an element $t = z_i {s_1}^{\alpha_{1i}} {s_2}^{\alpha_{2i}} \dots {s_p}^{\alpha_{pi}}$, where $z_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_{li} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $S_l \in A$ such that $rt \neq tr$. If $rs_i \neq s_i r$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$ then r is adjacent to s_i. Otherwise, $rs_i = s_i r$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since $r \notin C_K(S)$, there exists s_l for some $m+1 \leq l \leq n$ such that $rs_l \neq s_l r$. Hence, r is adjacent to $s_1 + s_l$. This completes the proof. □

We conclude this section by the following result.

Proposition 3.6. *Let S, K be two non-commutative subrings of a ring R such that $S \subseteq K$. Then $X = (S + C_K(S)) \setminus C_K(S)$ is a dominating set for $\Gamma_{S,K}$.*

Proof. Suppose r is a vertex of $\Gamma_{S,K}$ such that $r \notin X$. So there exists an element $s \in S$ such that $rs \neq sr$. If $s \notin C_K(S)$ then $s \in X$ and s is adjacent to r. If $s \in C_K(S)$ then there exists an element $t \in S \setminus C_K(S)$ such that $st \neq ts$. If $rt \neq tr$ then r is adjacent to t and $t \in X$. If $rt = tr$ then $t + s \in (S + C_K(S)) \setminus C_K(S)$ and $r(t + s) \neq (t + s)r$. So $t + s \in X$ and r is adjacent to $t + s$. This completes the proof. □

4. Relation between $\Gamma_{S,K}$ and $\Pr(S, K)$

If R_1 and R_2 are two non-commutative rings with centers of equal order such that Γ_{R_1} and Γ_{R_2} are isomorphic graphs then it is easy to see that their commuting probabilities are same. In this section, we give some more connections between $\Gamma_{S,K}$ and $\Pr(S, K)$, where S, K are subrings of R. We begin with the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let S and K be two subrings of a non-commutative ring R such that $S \subseteq K$. Then the number of edges of $\Gamma_{S,K}$ is*

$$|E(\Gamma_{S,K})| = |S||K|(1 - \Pr(S, K)) - \tfrac{|S|^2}{2}(1 - \Pr(S)).$$

Proof. Let $A = \{(r_1, r_2) \in S \times K : r_1 r_2 \neq r_2 r_1\}$ and $B = \{(r_1, r_2) \in K \times S : r_1 r_2 \neq r_2 r_1\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &= |S||K| - |\{(r_1, r_2) \in S \times K : r_1 r_2 = r_2 r_1\}| \\ &= |S||K| - |S||K| \Pr(S, K) = |B| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|A \cap B| = |\{(a, b) \in S \times S : ab \neq ba\}| = |S|^2 - |S|^2 \Pr(S).$$

Hence, the result follows from the fact that $|E(\Gamma_{S,K})| = |A \cup B|$. □

Putting $S = R$ in Theorem 4.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *Let R be a non-commutative ring. Then the number of edges of Γ_R is*

$$|E(\Gamma_R)| = \tfrac{|R|^2}{2}(1 - \Pr(R)).$$

Using similar techniques, as in the proof of [11, Proposition 3.1], we also have the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let S and K be two subrings of a non-commutative ring R such that $S \nsubseteq K$. Then*

$$|E(\Gamma_{S,K})| = |S||K|(1 - \Pr(S, K)) + \tfrac{|S|^2(1-\Pr(S))}{2} - \tfrac{|S\cap K|^2(1-\Pr(S\cap K))}{2}.$$

In view of the above results we have that lower or upper bounds for $\Pr(R), \Pr(S)$ and $\Pr(S, K)$ will give lower or upper bounds for $|E(\Gamma_R)|, |E(\Gamma_{S,K})|$ and vice-versa. As an example, we have the following lower bound for $|E(\Gamma_{S,K})|$.

Corollary 4.4. *Let S and K be two non-commutative subrings of a ring R such that $S \nsubseteq K$. Then*

$$|E(\Gamma_{S,K})| \geq \frac{3|S|(|K| + |S|/2)}{8} - \frac{|S \cap K|^2}{2}.$$

Proof. Using [7, Theorem 2.4] and [14, Theorem 1], we have $\Pr(S, K) \leq \Pr(S) \leq \frac{5}{8}$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |E(\Gamma_{S,K})| &\geq \frac{3|S||K|}{8} + \frac{3|S|^2}{16} - \frac{|S \cap K|^2}{2} + \frac{|S \cap K|^2 \Pr(S \cap K)}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{3|S|(|K| + |S|/2)}{8} - \frac{|S \cap K|^2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.5. *Let R be a non-commutative ring. Then*

$$\Pr(R) \geq \frac{2|Z(R)|}{|R|} + \frac{1}{|R|} - \frac{|Z(R)|^2}{|R|^2} - \frac{|Z(R)|}{|R|^2}.$$

Proof. We know that for every graph, the number of edges is at most $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$, where n is the number of vertices of the graph. Therefore, $|E(\Gamma_R)| \leq \frac{1}{2}((|R| - |Z(R)|)(|R| - |Z(R)| - 1))$. Hence, using Corollary 4.2, we have the required result. □

Proposition 4.6. *Let S and K be two subrings of a non-commutative ring R such that $S \subseteq K$. Then*

$$|E(\Gamma_{S,K})| \geq \frac{1}{2}|S||K| - \frac{1}{4}|S|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|Z(S)||K| - \frac{1}{4}|S||C_K(S)| + \frac{1}{4}|Z(S)||S|.$$

Proof. Let $S_1 = V(\Gamma_{S,K}) \cap S$ and $S_2 = V(\Gamma_{S,K}) \setminus S$. Then $|S_1| = |S| - |Z(S)|$ and $|S_2| = |K| - |S| - |C_K(S)| + |Z(S)|$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|E(\Gamma_{S,K})| &= \sum_{r \in V(\Gamma_{S,R})} deg(r) = \sum_{r \in S_1} deg(r) + \sum_{r \in S_2} deg(r) \\
&= \sum_{r \in S_1} (|K| - |C_K(r)|) + \sum_{r \in S_2} (|S| - |C_S(r)|) \\
&\geq |S_1||K| - \frac{|S_1||K|}{2} - |S_2||S| - \frac{|S||S_2|}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now putting the values of $|S_1|$ and $|S_2|$ we have the required result. □

Putting $S = R$ in Proposition 4.6 and then using Corollary 4.2 we have the upper bound for $\Pr(R)$.

Corollary 4.7. *Let R be a non-commutative ring. Then*

$$\Pr(R) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{|Z(R)|}{|R|}.$$

Note that the upper bound obtained in Corollary 4.7 is slightly better than the upper bound obtained in [14, Theorem 1].

Proposition 4.8. *Let S and K be two non-commutative subrings of a ring R such that $S \subseteq K$ and p the smallest prime dividing $|R|$. Then*

$$|E(\Gamma_{S,K})| \leq |S|(|K| - \frac{3|S|}{16} - p) - |Z(K) \cap S|(|K| - p)$$

Proof. By [7, Theorem 2.5], we have

$$\frac{|Z(K) \cap S|}{|S|} + \frac{p(|S| - |Z(K) \cap S|)}{|S||K|} \leq \Pr(S, K).$$

Now using this and the fact that $\Pr(S) \leq \frac{5}{8}$ in Theorem 4.1, we get the required result. □

Proposition 4.9. *Let S and K be two non-commutative subrings of a ring R such that $S \subseteq K$. Then*

$$|E(\Gamma_{S,K})| \geq -\frac{3|S|^2}{16} + \frac{3|S||K|}{8}.$$

Proof. Using [7, Theorem 2.2], we have that $\Pr(S,K) \leq \Pr(S) \leq \frac{5}{8}$, as S is non-commutative. So, $1 - \Pr(S,K) \geq 1 - \Pr(S) \geq \frac{3}{8}$. Therefore, the result follows from Theorem 4.1. □

Proposition 4.10. *There is no non-commutative ring R with non-commutative subrings S, K such that $S \subseteq K$ and $|C_K(S)| = 1$ satisfying*

$$2|K|\Pr(S,K) - |S|\Pr(S) = -2|K|/|S| + 4/|S| + 2|K| - |S|.$$

In particular, there is no non-commutative ring R with trivial center having commuting probability $\Pr(R) = 1 - 2/|R| + 4/|R|^2$.

Proof. Suppose there exists a non-commutative ring R with non commutative subrings S, K such that $S \subseteq K$ and

$$2|K|\Pr(S,K) - |S|\Pr(S) = -2|K|/|S| + 4/|S| + 2|K| - |S|.$$

If $|C_K(S)| = 1$ then the above equation, in view of Theorem 4.1, gives

$$|E(\Gamma_{S,K})| = |K| - |C_K(S)| - 1 = |V(\Gamma_{S,K})| - 1.$$

With this relation we can easily create a star graph $\Gamma_{S,K}$, which is a contradiction (by Theorem 2.3). This proves the first part of the proposition.

Second part is obtained by putting $S = R$. □

We conclude this section by the following result.

Proposition 4.11. *There is no non-commutative ring R with commuting probability*

$$\Pr(R) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|Z(R)|}{|R|} - \frac{|Z(R)|^2}{2|R|^2}.$$

Proof. Suppose there exists a non-commutative ring R such that $\Pr(R) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|Z(R)|}{|R|} - \frac{|Z(R)|^2}{2|R|^2}$. Then $\frac{|R|^2}{2}(1 - \Pr(R)) = \frac{1}{4}(|R| - |Z(R)|)^2 = |E(\Gamma_R)|$. Therefore $V(\Gamma_R) = R \setminus Z(R)$ can be partitioned equally into two disjoint sets such that each vertex of one set is adjacent to all vertices of the other set. Thus Γ_R is a complete bipartite graph, which is not possible (by part (b) of Corollary 2.6). Hence the result follows. □

5. Relation between $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism and $\Gamma_{S,K}$

Hall [12] introduced the notion of isoclinism between two groups and Lescot [13] showed that the commuting probability of two isoclinic finite groups are same. Later on Buckley, MacHale and Ní shé [6] introduced the concept of $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism between two rings and showed that the commuting probability of two isoclinic finite rings are same. In [7], we introduce the concept of $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism between two pairs of rings and show that relative commuting probability remains invariant under $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism of pairs of rings. In this section, we further generalize $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism between pairs of rings and find some connections between these pairs and their generalized non-commuting graphs.

Definition 5.1. Let R_1 and R_2 be two rings with subrings S_1, K_1 and S_2, K_2 respectively such that $S_1 \subseteq K_1$ and $S_2 \subseteq K_2$. A pair of rings (S_1, K_1) is said to be $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinic to a pair of rings (S_2, K_2) if there exist additive group isomorphisms $\phi : \frac{K_1}{Z(K_1)\cap S_1} \to \frac{K_2}{Z(K_2)\cap S_2}$ such that $\phi(\frac{S_1}{Z(K_1)\cap S_1}) = \frac{S_2}{Z(K_2)\cap S_2}$ and $\psi : [S_1, K_1] \to [S_2, K_2]$ such that $\psi([u, v]) = [u', v']$ whenever $u \in S_1, u' \in S_2, v \in K_1, v' \in K_2, \phi(u+(Z(K_1)\cap S_1)) = u'+(Z(K_2)\cap S_2)$ and $\phi(v+(Z(K_1)\cap S_1)) = v'+(Z(K_2)\cap S_2)$. Such pair of mappings (ϕ, ψ) is called a generalized $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism from (S_1, K_1) to (S_2, K_2).

We have the following main result of this section.

Theorem 5.2. *Let R_1 and R_2 be two rings with subrings S_1, K_1 and S_2, K_2 respectively such that $S_1 \subseteq K_1$ and $S_2 \subseteq K_2$. Let the pairs (S_1, K_1) and (S_2, K_2) are generalized $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinic. Then $\Gamma_{S_1,K_1} \cong \Gamma_{S_2,K_2}$ if $|Z(K_1) \cap S_1| = |Z(K_2) \cap S_2|$ and $|Z(K_1)| = |Z(K_2)|$.*

Proof. Let (ϕ, ψ) be a generalized $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism between (S_1, K_1) and (S_2, K_2). Then $|\frac{K_1}{Z(K_1)\cap S_1}| = |\frac{K_2}{Z(K_2)\cap S_2}|$, $|\frac{S_1}{Z(K_1)\cap S_1}| = |\frac{S_2}{Z(K_2)\cap S_2}|$ and $|[S_1, K_1]| = |[S_2, K_2]|$. Therefore $|S_1| = |S_2|, |\frac{K_1}{Z(K_1)}| = |\frac{K_2}{Z(K_2)}|, |Z(K_1) \setminus S_1| = |Z(K_2) \setminus S_2|$ and $|S_1 \setminus Z(K_1)| = |S_2 \setminus Z(K_2)|$, as $|Z(K_1) \cap S_1| = |Z(K_2) \cap S_2|$ and $|Z(K_1)| = |Z(K_2)|$. Also, by second isomorphism theorem, we have $\frac{S_1}{S_1\cap Z(K_1)} \cong \frac{S_1+Z(K_1)}{Z(K_1)}$ (additive group isomorphism). Let $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k\}$ be a transversal for $\frac{S_1+Z(K_1)}{Z(K_1)}$. Then the set $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k\}$ can be extended to a transversal for $\frac{K_1}{Z(K_1)}$. Let $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k, r_{k+1}, \ldots, r_n\}$ be a transversal for $\frac{K_1}{Z(K_1)}$. Similarly, we can find a transversal $\{s'_1, s'_2, \ldots, s'_k, r'_{k+1}, \ldots, r'_n\}$ for $\frac{K_2}{Z(K_2)}$ such that $\{s'_1, s'_2, \ldots, s'_k\}$ is a transversal for $\frac{S_2+Z(K_2)}{Z(K_2)} \cong \frac{S_2}{S_2\cap Z(K_2)}$.

Let ϕ be defined as $\phi(s_i + Z(K_1)) = s'_i + Z(K_2)$, $\phi(r_j + Z(K_1)) = r'_j + Z(K_2)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k, k+1 \leq j \leq n$ and let the one-to-one correspondence $\theta : Z(K_1) \to Z(K_2)$ maps elements of S_1 to S_2. Then $|C_{K_1}(S_1)| = |C_{K_2}(S_2)|$. Let us define a map $\alpha : K_1 \to K_2$ such that $\alpha(s_i+z) = s'_i+\theta(z)$, $\alpha(r_j+z) = r'_j+\theta(z)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k, k+1 \leq j \leq n$ and $z \in Z(K_1)$. Then α is a bijection. This shows that α is also a bijection from $K_1 \setminus C_{K_1}(S_1)$ to $K_2 \setminus C_{K_2}(S_2)$. Suppose r_1, r_2 are adjacent in Γ_{S_1,K_1}. Then $r_1 \in S_1$ or $r_2 \in S_1$, say $r_1 \in S_1$. So, $[r_1, r_2] \neq 0$, this gives $[s_i + z, r + z_1] \neq 0$, where $r_1 = s_i + z, r_2 = r + z_1$ for some $z, z_1 \in Z(K_1)$, $r \in \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k, r_{k+1}, \ldots, r_n\}$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. Thus $[s'_i + \theta(z), r + \theta(z_1)] \neq 0$, where $\theta(z), \theta(z_1) \in Z(K_2)$. Hence $[\alpha(s_i + z), \alpha(r + z_1)] \neq 0$, that is $\alpha(r_1)$ and $\alpha(r_2)$ are adjacent. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Theorem 5.3. *Let S_1 and S_2 be two subrings of a non-commutative ring R such that $\Gamma_{S_1,R} \cong \Gamma_{S_2,R}$. Then $\Gamma_{S_1} \cong \Gamma_{S_2}$.*

Proof. Let ϕ be an isomorphism between $\Gamma_{S_1,R}$ and $\Gamma_{S_2,R}$. Suppose that there exists an element $s \in V(\Gamma_{S_1,R}) \cap S_1$ such that $\phi(s) \in V(\Gamma_{S_2,R}) \cap (R \setminus S_2)$. We have that $deg(s) = deg(\phi(s))$. This gives $|R|-|C_R(S_1)| = |S_2|-|C_{S_2}(\phi(s))| < |S_2|$ and so $\frac{|R|}{2} < |S_2|$. Thus $|S_2| = |R|$, a contradiction. Therefore ϕ is a bijection between $V(\Gamma_{S_1,R}) \cap S_1$ and $V(\Gamma_{S_2,R}) \cap S_2$. This completes the proof. □

We conclude the paper with the following corollary

Corollary 5.4. *Let S_1 and S_2 be two subrings of a non-commutative ring R such that (S_1, R) is generalized $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinic to (S_2, R). Then $\Gamma_{S_1} \cong \Gamma_{S_2}$ if $|Z(R) \cap S_1| = |Z(R) \cap S_2|$.*

References

[1] Abdollahi, A.(2007) *Engel graph associated with a group*, J. Algebra **318**, 680–691.

[2] Abdollahi, A.(2008) *Commuting graph of full matrix rings over finite fields*, Linear Algebra Appl. **428**, 2947–2954.

[3] Abdollahi, A., Akbari, S. and Maimani, H. R. (2006) *Non-commuting graph of a group*, J. Algebra **298**, 468–492.

[4] Beck, I.(1988) *Coloring of commutative rings*, J. Algebra **116**, 208–226.

[5] Buckley, S. M. and Machale, D. *Contrasting the commuting probabilities of groups and rings*, Preprint.

[6] Buckley, S. M., Machale, D. and Ní Shé, A. *Finite rings with many commuting pairs of elements*, Preprint.

[7] Dutta, J., Basnet, D. K. and Nath, R. K. *On commuting probability of finite rings*, Preprint.

[8] Dutta, P. and Nath, R. K. *On generalized commuting probability of a finite ring*, Preprint.

[9] Dutta, J. and Nath, R. K. *Spectrum and genus of commuting graphs of some classes of finite rings*, Preprint.
[10] Erfanian, A., Khashyarmanesh, K. and Nafar, Kh. (2015) *Non-commuting graphs of rings*, Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl. **7**(3), 1550027-1–1550027-7.
[11] Ghayekhloo, S., Erfanian, A. and Tolue, B.(2014) *The generalised non-commuting graph of a finite group*, Comptes rendus de 1'Académie bulgare des Sciences **67**(8), 1037–1044.
[12] Hall, P. (1940) *The classification of prime power groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **182**, 130–141.
[13] Lescot, P. (1995) *Isoclinism classes and commutativity degrees of finite groups*, J. Algebra, **177**, 847–869.
[14] MacHale, D.(1976) *Commutativity in finite rings*, Amer. Math. Monthly **83**, 30–32.
[15] Omidi G. R. and Vatandoost E. (2011) *On the commuting graph of rings*, J. Algebra Appl. **10**(3), 521–527.
[16] Tolue, B. and Erfanian, A.(2013) *Relative non-commuting graph of a finite group*, J. Algebra Appl. **12**(2), 1250157-1–1250157-11.